

# A Note on Bimodules and $\text{II}_1$ -Subfactors

Reinhard Schaflitzel  
 Mathematisches Institut  
 Technische Universität München  
 Arcisstr.21  
 80333 München  
 GERMANY

email: schaffl@mathematik.tu-muenchen.de

September 13, 1996

## Abstract

A brief introduction into bimodules of  $\text{II}_1$ -factors is presented. Furthermore a version of the following result due to M. Pimsner and S. Popa is derived: Let  $N = M_{-1} \subset M = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset M_2 \subset \dots$  denote the Jones tower of a  $\text{II}_1$ -factor  $N \subset M$  with finite index. Then the factor obtained by the basic construction from the pair  $N \subset M_{n-1}$  is equal to  $M_{2n-1}$ .

## Introduction

The theory of subfactors was established by V.F.R. Jones in his famous paper [6]. A. Ocneanu had the idea to use bimodules (also called correspondences) for the theory of subfactors (see [7] and [8]). In this note we present an easy access to the bimodules of  $\text{II}_1$ -factors and to their application to the theory of  $\text{II}_1$ -subfactors. Let

$$N = M_{-1} \subset M = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset M_2 \subset M_3 \subset \dots$$

be the Jones tower of a  $\text{II}_1$ -subfactor  $N \subset M$  with finite index. The main goal of this paper is to construct a normal isomorphism from  $M_{2n-1}$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) onto the von Neumann algebra of the right  $N$ -linear operators on  $L^2(M_{n-1})$ . In particular we obtain a new version of the result due to M. Pimsner and S. Popa that the basic construction for  $N \subset M_{n-1}$  is equal to  $M_{2n-1}$ . The author thinks that his result is more convenient for applications than Pimsner's and Popa's. We notice that Y. Denizeau and J.F. Havet developed a theory of correspondences of finite index for arbitrary von Neumann algebras (see [3] and [4]) from which our results follow likewise. S. Yamagami also proved some

results about bimodules and some parts of Ocneanu's approach to subfactors (see [13] and [14]). This note contains the results of an introductory section and of a part of the appendix of the author's Habilitationsschrift [11].

## 1 Bimodules of $\text{II}_1$ -factors

In order to avoid subtleties we will assume that every von Neumann algebra appearing in this paper acts on a separable Hilbert space. For a  $\text{II}_1$ -factor  $S$  let  $\text{tr}_S$  denote the unique normalized trace of  $S$ . Let  $L$ ,  $P$ ,  $Q$ , and  $S$  be factors of type  $\text{II}_1$ . Let  $Q^{\text{op}}$  be the factor opposite to  $Q$ . ( $Q^{\text{op}}$  is equal to  $Q$  as a complex vector space, the multiplication law is reversed, that means  $p \overset{\text{op}}{\circ} q := q \cdot p$  for  $p, q \in Q$ , and the involution  $*$  is the same as in  $Q$ .)

**Definition 1.1** (i) A (left)  $P$ -module is a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  endowed with a normal representation  $\lambda : P \longrightarrow \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H})$  of  $P$ . A right  $Q$ -module is a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  endowed with a normal representation  $\rho : Q^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H})$  of  $Q^{\text{op}}$ . A  $(P, Q)$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{H}$  is a left  $P$ -module and a right  $Q$ -module such that  $\lambda(P)$  and  $\rho(Q^{\text{op}})$  commute. If we like to emphasize the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , we write  $\lambda_{\mathcal{H}}$  for  $\lambda$  and  $\rho_{\mathcal{H}}$  for  $\rho$ .

(ii) For left  $P$ -modules  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2$  a continuous linear operator  $T : \mathcal{H}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$  is called (left)  $P$ -linear, if  $T \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_1}(p) = \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2}(p) T$  holds for every  $p \in P$ . For right  $Q$ -modules  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2$  a continuous linear operator  $T : \mathcal{H}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$  is called right  $Q$ -linear, if  $T \rho_{\mathcal{H}_1}(q) = \rho_{\mathcal{H}_2}(q) T$  for every  $q \in Q$ . For  $(P, Q)$ -bimodules  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2$  an operator  $T : \mathcal{H}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$  is called  $(P, Q)$ -linear, if  $T$  is left  $P$ - and right  $Q$ -linear.

We write  ${}_P\mathcal{H}_Q$  for the  $(P, Q)$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{H}$ . For  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $p \in P$  and  $q \in Q$  we also write  $p \cdot \xi$  in place of  $\lambda(p)\xi$  and  $\xi \cdot q$  in place of  $\rho(q)\xi$ . The commutant  $\lambda_{\mathcal{H}}(P)'$  is also denoted by  $\mathcal{L}_{P,-}(\mathcal{H})$ , the commutant  $\rho_{\mathcal{H}}(Q)'$  by  $\mathcal{L}_{-,Q}(\mathcal{H})$ , and the set  $\lambda_{\mathcal{H}}(P)' \cap \rho_{\mathcal{H}}(Q)'$  of the continuous  $(P, Q)$ -linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  by  $\mathcal{L}_{P,Q}(\mathcal{H})$ .

Let  $L^2(Q)$  be the Hilbert space obtained by the completion of  $Q$  with respect to the inner product  $\langle x, y \rangle := \text{tr}_Q(y^*x)$  ( $x, y \in Q$ ). We denote an element  $x$  of  $Q$  by  $\bar{x}$ , if  $x$  is regarded as an element of  $L^2(Q)$ .  $L^2(Q)$  is a  $(Q, Q)$ -bimodule, where the actions are given by left and right multiplication.

For  $(P, Q)$ -bimodules we use the usual concepts of representation theory. For instance, a  $(P, Q)$ -bimodule  ${}_P\mathcal{H}_Q$  is called irreducible, if and only if there is no closed proper subspace  $\mathcal{K} \neq 0$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  invariant under the left action of  $P$  and the right action of  $Q$ , or equivalently, if and only if  $\mathcal{L}_{P,Q}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$ . Two  $(P, Q)$ -bimodules  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  are called equivalent ( $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{K}$ ), if there is a unitary  $(P, Q)$ -linear map  $U : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}$ .

## 1.2 The $\otimes_Q$ -tensor product

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a right  $Q$ -modules and  $\mathcal{K}$  a left  $Q$ -module. We describe J.-L. Sauvageot's construction ([10]) of the tensor product  $\mathcal{H} \otimes_Q \mathcal{K}$  for our special case of  $\text{II}_1$ -factors. (It is not difficult to check that the definition in [12] is a special case of the definition in [10]). The Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} \otimes_Q \mathcal{K}$  has similar properties like the algebraic  $Q$ -tensor product  $\otimes_Q$  (see [1]).

We consider the elements  $\eta \in \mathcal{K}$ , for which there is a continuous linear operator  $R_l(\eta) : L^2(Q) \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}$  such that  $R_l(\eta) \bar{x} = x \cdot \eta$  for every  $x \in Q$ . These elements are called left bounded, they form a dense subspace  $D_l(\mathcal{K})$  of  $\mathcal{K}$ . For  $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in D_l(\mathcal{K})$ ,  $\langle \eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle_N^l := JR_l(\eta_1)^* R_l(\eta_2) J$  is a right  $Q$ -linear continuous operator on  $L^2(Q)$  and thus belongs to  $Q$  (where  $J$  is the antiunitary operator on  $L^2(Q)$  defined by  $J\bar{q} = q^*$  for  $q \in Q \subset L^2(Q)$ ). So a  $Q$ -valued inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_N^l : D_l(\mathcal{K}) \times D_l(\mathcal{K}) \longrightarrow Q$  is given.

For the right  $Q$ -module  $\mathcal{H}$  we introduce the set  $D_r(\mathcal{H})$  of right-bounded elements and the operator  $R_r(\xi)$  for  $\xi \in D_r(\mathcal{H})$  in an analogous way:  $R_r(\xi) : L^2(Q) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is given by  $R_r(\xi) \bar{x} = \xi \cdot x$  for  $x \in Q$ , and  $\langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle_N^r := R_r(\xi_2)^* R_r(\xi_1)$  defines a  $Q$ -valued inner product on  $D_r(\mathcal{H})$ . Moreover,  $D_r(\mathcal{H})$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

We use the symbol  $\odot$  for algebraic tensor products of  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces. The Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} \otimes_Q \mathcal{K}$  is defined as the Hausdorff completion of the algebraic tensor product  $D_r(\mathcal{H}) \odot \mathcal{K}$  with respect to the inner product

$$\langle \xi_1 \odot \eta_1, \xi_2 \odot \eta_2 \rangle := \langle \langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle_N^r \cdot \eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle. \quad (1)$$

(More precisely,  $\mathcal{H} \otimes_Q \mathcal{K}$  is the completion of the factor space  $D_r(\mathcal{H}) \odot \mathcal{K}/N$  with respect to the inner product (1), where  $N$  is the subspace of  $D_r(\mathcal{H}) \odot \mathcal{K}$  consisting of all vectors  $\psi$ , for which  $\langle \psi, \psi \rangle = 0$ .)

You also can consider  $\mathcal{H} \otimes_Q \mathcal{K}$  as the Hausdorff completion of  $\mathcal{H} \odot D_l(\mathcal{K})$  with respect to

$$\langle \xi_1 \odot \eta_1, \xi_2 \odot \eta_2 \rangle := \langle \xi_1 \cdot \langle \eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle_N^l, \xi_2 \rangle.$$

The inner products agree on  $D_r(\mathcal{H}) \odot D_l(\mathcal{K})$  (see [10], Lemma 1.7), and one easily sees that the image of  $D_r(\mathcal{H}) \odot D_l(\mathcal{K})$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H} \otimes_Q \mathcal{K}$  (for both the definitions of  $\mathcal{H} \otimes_Q \mathcal{K}$ ).

**Lemma 1.3** *The map  $\xi \in \mathcal{H} \longmapsto \xi \otimes_Q \eta$  (resp.  $\eta \in \mathcal{K} \longmapsto \xi \otimes_Q \eta$ ) is continuous for every  $\eta \in D_r(\mathcal{K})$  (resp.  $\xi \in D_l(\mathcal{H})$ ).*

We call the pair  $(\xi, \eta) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{K}$  admissible, if and only if  $\xi \in D_r(\mathcal{H})$  or  $\eta \in D_l(\mathcal{K})$ . For admissible  $(\xi, \eta)$  we denote the corresponding element of  $\mathcal{H} \otimes_Q \mathcal{K}$  by  $\xi \otimes_Q \eta$ .

$D_l(\mathcal{K})$  is stable under the left action of  $Q$  and  $\langle q \cdot \eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle_N^l = q \cdot \langle \eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle_N^l$  for  $q \in Q$  and  $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in D_l(\mathcal{K})$ . Furthermore  $D_r(\mathcal{H})$  is stable under the right action of  $Q$  and  $\langle \xi_1 \cdot q, \xi_2 \rangle_N^r = \langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle_N^r \cdot q$  for  $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in D_r(\mathcal{H})$ . It follows

$$\xi \cdot q \otimes_Q \eta = \xi \otimes_Q q \cdot \eta$$

for  $(\xi, \eta)$  admissible and  $q \in Q$ .

We have the following Lemmata:

**Lemma 1.4** (i) Let  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{H}'$  be right  $Q$ -modules and  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{K}'$  left  $Q$ -modules. If  $A : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}'$  is a continuous right  $Q$ -linear operator and  $B : \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}'$  a continuous left  $Q$ -linear operator, then  $(A \otimes_Q B) \xi \otimes_Q \eta = A\xi \otimes_Q B\eta$  for admissible  $(\xi, \eta)$  defines a unique continuous linear operator  $A \otimes_Q B$  from  $\mathcal{H} \otimes_Q \mathcal{K}$  onto  $\mathcal{H}' \otimes_Q \mathcal{K}'$ . One obtains  $(A \otimes_Q B)^* = A^* \otimes_Q B^*$ .

(ii)  $\mathcal{H} \otimes_Q \mathcal{K}$  is a left  $P$ -module with respect to the action  $\lambda(p) \otimes_Q \mathbf{1}$  ( $p \in P$ ), if  $\mathcal{H}$  is a  $(P, Q)$ -bimodule. It is a right  $S$ -module with respect to the right action  $\mathbf{1} \otimes_Q \rho(s)$  ( $s \in S$ ), if  $\mathcal{K}$  is a  $(Q, S)$ -bimodule, and a  $(P, S)$ -bimodule, if both conditions are satisfied.

(iii) If  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{H}'$  are  $(P, Q)$ -bimodules and  $A$  is  $(P, Q)$ -linear, then  $A \otimes_Q B$  is left  $P$ -linear. The corresponding result for right actions on  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{K}'$  is satisfied likewise.

**Proof:** We note  $A(D_r(\mathcal{H})) \subset D_r(\mathcal{H})$  and  $B(D_l(\mathcal{K})) \subset D_l(\mathcal{K})$ . Assertion (i) without the equation concerning the  $*$ -operation is Lemma 2.3 in [10]. Part (ii) and (iii) are easy consequences of part (i), hence we will only show  $(A \otimes_Q B)^* = A^* \otimes_Q B^*$ . If  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}'$  and  $B = \mathbf{1}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (A \otimes_Q \mathbf{1})(\xi \otimes_Q \eta), \xi' \otimes_Q \eta' \rangle &= \langle (A\xi) \cdot \langle \eta, \eta' \rangle_N^l, \xi' \rangle = \\ \langle \xi \cdot \langle \eta, \eta' \rangle_N^l, A^* \xi' \rangle &= \langle \xi \otimes_Q \eta, (A^* \otimes_Q \mathbf{1})(\xi' \otimes_Q \eta') \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\xi' \in \mathcal{H}'$ ,  $\eta, \eta' \in D_l(\mathcal{K})$ . So we obtain  $(A \otimes_Q \mathbf{1})^* = A^* \otimes_Q \mathbf{1}$ . Similarly  $(\mathbf{1} \otimes_Q B)^* = \mathbf{1} \otimes_Q B^*$  follows. Using  $A \otimes_Q B = (A \otimes_Q \mathbf{1}) \cdot (\mathbf{1} \otimes_Q B)$ , we get the assertion.  $\blacksquare$

Lemma 1.3 implies:

**Lemma 1.5** (i) If  $\mathcal{H}$  is a right  $Q$ -module,  $\mathcal{K}$  an  $(Q, P)$ -bimodule and  $\eta \in D_r(\mathcal{K})$ , then  $\xi \otimes_Q \eta \in D_r(\mathcal{H} \otimes_Q \mathcal{K})$  for  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ .

(ii) If  $\mathcal{K}_0$  is a dense linear subspace of  $D_r(\mathcal{K})$  and  $\mathcal{H}_0$  a dense linear subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$ , then

$$\mathcal{H}_0 \odot_Q \mathcal{K}_0 := \text{span} \{ \xi \otimes_Q \eta : \xi \in \mathcal{H}_0, \eta \in \mathcal{K}_0 \}$$

is a dense subset of  $D_r(\mathcal{H} \otimes_Q \mathcal{K})$ .

(iii) If  $\mathcal{H}$  is a  $(P, Q)$ -bimodule and  $\mathcal{K}$  a left  $Q$ -module, one obtains the analogous results.

**Lemma 1.6** If  $\mathcal{H}$  is a right  $Q$ -module,  $\mathcal{K}$  a  $(Q, P)$ -module, and  $\mathcal{L}$  a left  $P$ -module, there is a unique unitary operator

$$a = a(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L}) : \mathcal{H} \otimes_Q (\mathcal{K} \otimes_P \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{H} \otimes_Q \mathcal{K}) \otimes_P \mathcal{L}$$

such that  $a \xi \otimes_Q (\eta \otimes_P \rho) = (\xi \otimes_Q \eta) \otimes_P \rho$  for  $\xi \in D_r(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $\eta \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $\rho \in D_l(\mathcal{L})$ . If  $\mathcal{H}$  is an  $(L, Q)$ -bimodule and  $\mathcal{L}$  a  $(P, S)$ -bimodule, then  $a$  is  $(L, S)$ -linear.

**Proof:** We apply the Lemma 1.5 and see that it suffices to check

$$\langle (\xi \otimes_Q \eta) \otimes_P \rho, (\xi' \otimes_Q \eta') \otimes_P \rho' \rangle = \langle \xi \otimes_Q (\eta \otimes_P \rho), \xi' \otimes_Q (\eta' \otimes_P \rho') \rangle \quad (2)$$

for  $\xi, \xi' \in D_r(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $\eta, \eta' \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $\rho, \rho' \in D_l(\mathcal{L})$ . We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\xi \otimes_Q \eta) \otimes_P \rho, (\xi' \otimes_Q \eta') \otimes_P \rho' \rangle &= \langle (\xi \otimes_Q \eta) \cdot \langle \rho, \rho' \rangle_P^l, \xi' \otimes_Q \eta' \rangle = \\ &= \langle \langle \xi, \xi' \rangle_Q^r \cdot \eta \cdot \langle \rho, \rho' \rangle_P^l, \eta' \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and get the same result, if we carry out similar steps for the right inner product in (2).  $\blacksquare$

For every  $(P, Q)$ -bimodule  ${}_P\mathcal{H}_Q$  there is the conjugate bimodule  ${}_Q\bar{\mathcal{H}}_P$ , where  $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$  is equal to  $\mathcal{H}$  as a real vector space, but the inner product and the scalar multiplication are conjugate. The left action  $\bar{\lambda}$  of  $Q$  and the right action  $\bar{\rho}$  of  $P$  on  $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$  are given by  $\bar{\lambda}(q) = \rho(q^*)$  for  $q \in Q$  and  $\bar{\rho}(p) = \lambda(p^*)$  for  $p \in P$ . Obviously,  $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{K}$  entails  $\bar{\mathcal{H}} \cong \bar{\mathcal{K}}$ .

The  $(Q, Q)$ -bimodule  $L^2(Q)$  is a unit in the following meaning: For a  $(Q, S)$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{H}$  there is a unitary  $(Q, S)$ -linear map  $l_{\mathcal{H}}$  from  $L^2(Q) \otimes_Q \mathcal{H}$  onto  $\mathcal{H}$  determined by

$$l_{\mathcal{H}} \bar{q} \otimes_Q \xi = q \cdot \xi \quad \text{for } \xi \in \mathcal{H} \text{ and } q \in Q. \quad (3)$$

The existence of  $l_{\mathcal{H}}$  allows us to identify the bimodules  $L^2(Q) \otimes_Q \mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$ . Similarly for a  $(P, Q)$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{H}$  there is a unitary  $(P, Q)$ -linear map  $r_{\mathcal{H}}$  from  $\mathcal{H} \otimes_Q L^2(Q)$  onto  $\mathcal{H}$  determined by

$$r_{\mathcal{H}} \xi \otimes_Q \bar{q} = \xi \cdot q \quad \text{for } \xi \in \mathcal{H} \text{ and } q \in Q. \quad (4)$$

**Definition 1.7** A  $(Q, P)$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{H}$  is called *regular*, if  $D(\mathcal{H}) := D_l(\mathcal{H}) \cap D_r(\mathcal{H})$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

A subbimodule of a regular bimodule, a finite direct sum of regular bimodules, the conjugate of a regular bimodule and the tensor product of regular bimodules are regular. (The proof is easy, Lemma 1.5 is used for the tensor product.)

Let  $Q_i, i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , be factors of type  $\text{II}_1$ , and  ${}_{Q_{i-1}}\mathcal{H}_i{}_{Q_i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  be regular bimodules. There are different possibilities to put the brackets in  $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes_{Q_1} \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes_{Q_2} \cdots \otimes_{Q_{n-1}} \mathcal{H}_n$ . From Lemma 1.6 we conclude that the  $(Q_0, Q_n)$ -bimodules corresponding to different choices of the brackets are equivalent in a canonical way and we may identify these  $(Q_0, Q_n)$ -bimodules. If  $D_i$  is a dense linear subset of  $D(\mathcal{H}_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 \odot_{Q_1} D_2 \cdots \odot_{Q_{n-1}} D_n := \\ \text{span } \{ \xi_1 \otimes_{Q_1} \xi_2 \otimes_{Q_2} \cdots \otimes_{Q_{n-1}} \xi_n : \xi \in D_i, i = 1, \dots, n \} \end{aligned}$$

is dense in  $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes_{Q_1} \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes_{Q_2} \cdots \otimes_{Q_{n-1}} \mathcal{H}_n$ .

## 2 Bimodules and the Jones Tower

Let  $N \subset M$  be a subfactor of a  $\text{II}_1$ -factor  $M$  with finite Jones' index  $\beta := [M : N]$ .  $N$  and  $M$  act on  $L^2(M)$  by left multiplication. The von Neumann algebra  $M_1 := \mathcal{L}_{-,N}(L^2(M))$  is a  $\text{II}_1$ -factor containing  $M$  and is called the basic construction for  $N \subset M$ . The orthogonal projection  $e_0$  from  $L^2(M)$  onto  $L^2(N) \subset L^2(M)$  is called the Jones projection. It is known that  $M_1$  is generated by  $M$  and  $e_0$  as a  $*$ -algebra.  $e_0$  maps  $M \subset L^2(M)$  onto  $N \subset L^2(M)$ , the restriction

$$E_0 = e_0|_M : M \longrightarrow N$$

of  $e_0$  is a normal faithful conditional expectation from  $M$  onto  $N$ . For  $m \in M$   $E_0(m)$  is the unique element of  $N$  satisfying

$$\text{tr}_M(E_0(m)n) = \text{tr}_M(mn) \quad \text{for every } n \in N.$$

$E_0$  is called the conditional expectation from  $M$  to  $N$  corresponding to the trace  $\text{tr}_M$ .

We obtain  $[M_1 : M] = [M : N] < \infty$ , so we are able to repeat the basic construction infinitely many times and get the so called Jones tower

$$N = M_{-1} \subset M = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset M_2 \subset M_3 \subset \dots,$$

where  $M_{k+1}$  is the basic construction for the subfactor  $M_{k-1} \subset M_k$ . For  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  let  $e_k \in M_{k+1}$  denote the orthogonal projection from  $L^2(M_k)$  onto  $L^2(M_{k-1})$  and  $E_k : M_k \longrightarrow M_{k-1}$  the corresponding conditional expectation. We have the following relations:

$$e_k e_{k\pm 1} e_k = \beta^{-1} e_k \quad \text{and} \tag{5}$$

$$e_k e_l = e_l e_k \quad \text{for } |k - l| \geq 2. \tag{6}$$

Moreover we have

$$e_k x e_k = E_k(x) e_k \quad \text{for } x \in M_k \quad \text{and} \tag{7}$$

$$E_k(e_{k-1}) = \beta^{-1} \mathbf{1}. \tag{8}$$

The trace  $\text{tr}_{M_{k+1}}$  on  $M_{k+1}$  satisfies the Markov property

$$\beta \text{tr}_{M_{k+1}}(x e_k) = \text{tr}_{M_{k+1}}(x) \quad \text{for } x \in M_k. \tag{9}$$

$L^2(M_k)$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ) is a regular  $(M, M)$ -bimodule (as well as a regular  $(N, N)$ -,  $(N, M)$ - and  $(M, N)$ -bimodule), as

$$M_k \subset D_l(L^2(M_k)) \cap D_r(L^2(M_k))$$

shows.

**Lemma 2.1** *There is a unique unitary  $(M, M)$ -linear operator  $U_2$  from  $L^2(M) \otimes_N L^2(M)$  onto  $L^2(M_1)$  such that  $U_2 \overline{m_1} \otimes_N \overline{m_2} = \beta^{1/2} \overline{m_1 e_0 m_2}$  for  $m_1, m_2 \in M$ .*

Lemma 2.1 is a Hilbert space version of the following result (see [5], Corollary 3.6.5): There is a canonical isomorphism (of algebraic  $(M, M)$ -bimodules) from the algebraic  $N$ -tensor product  $M \otimes_N M$  onto  $M_1$  given by  $m_1 \otimes_N m_2 \longmapsto m_1 e_0 m_2$ .

**Proof:** According to Lemma 1.5 it suffices to verify

$$\langle \overline{m_1} \otimes_N \overline{m_2}, \overline{l_1} \otimes_N \overline{l_2} \rangle = \beta \operatorname{tr}_{M_2}((m_1 e_0 m_2)(l_2^* e_0 l_1^*)) \quad (10)$$

for  $l_1, l_2, m_1, m_2 \in M$  (observe the equations ( 7) and ( 9)). The right side of equation ( 10) is equal to

$$\beta \operatorname{tr}_{M_1}(l_1^* m_1 E_0(m_2 l_2^*) e_0) = \operatorname{tr}_M(l_1^* m_1 E_0(m_2 l_2^*)) = \langle \overline{m_1}, E_0(m_2 l_2^*), \overline{l_1} \rangle.$$

So the Lemma is proved, if we show  $\langle \overline{m_2}, \overline{l_2} \rangle_N^l = E_0(m_2 l_2^*)$ . We get

$$\langle R_l(\overline{m_2})^* \overline{x}, \overline{n} \rangle = \operatorname{tr}_M(x (n m_2)^*) = \operatorname{tr}_M(x m_2^* n^*) = \operatorname{tr}_M(E_0(x m_2^*) n^*)$$

for all  $n \in N$  and  $x \in M$ . It follows  $R_l(\overline{m_2})^* \overline{x} = \overline{E_0(x m_2^*)} \in L^2(N)$  and

$$\langle \overline{m_2}, \overline{l_2} \rangle_N^l \cdot \overline{n} = J R_l(\overline{m_2})^* \overline{n^* l_2} = J \overline{E_0(n^* l_2 m_2^*)} = E_0(m_2 l_2^*) \cdot \overline{n}$$

for every  $n \in N$ . ■

We define unitary  $(M, M)$ -linear operators

$$U_k = U(k; N, M) : L^2(M)^{\otimes_N^k} = L^2(M) \otimes_N \dots \otimes_N L^2(M) \longrightarrow L^2(M_{k-1})$$

for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  by induction. (Lemma 1.6 shows that it is not necessary to use brackets in  $L^2(M) \otimes_N \dots \otimes_N L^2(M)$ .) Set  $U_1 := id_{L^2(M)}$  and let  $U_2$  be as in Lemma 2.1.

For  $k \geq 2$  let  $V_k = U(k-1; M, M_1) : L^2(M_1)^{\otimes_M^{k-1}} \longrightarrow L^2(M_{k-1})$ . Observing that the  $(M, M)$ -bimodules  $L^2(M)$  and  $L^2(M) \otimes_M L^2(M)$  are equivalent in a canonical way according to ( 3) (or ( 4)), we use the following identification of  $(M, M)$ -bimodules:

$$\begin{aligned} L^2(M)^{\otimes_N^k} &= \\ L^2(M) \otimes_N (L^2(M) \otimes_M L^2(M)) \otimes_N \dots \otimes_N (L^2(M) \otimes_M L^2(M)) \otimes_N L^2(M) \\ &= (L^2(M) \otimes_N L^2(M))^{\otimes_M^{k-1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

We put

$$U_k := V_k \circ U_2^{\otimes_M^{k-1}}.$$

(Concerning the definition of the operator  $U_2^{\otimes_M^{k-1}}$  see Lemma 1.4). Using the notation

$$e_{n,m} := \begin{cases} e_n \cdot e_{n+1} \cdot \dots \cdot e_{m-1} \cdot e_m & \text{for } n < m, \\ e_n & \text{for } n = m, \\ e_n \cdot e_{n-1} \cdot \dots \cdot e_{m+1} \cdot e_m & \text{for } n > m, \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} U_k \overline{x_1} \otimes_N \dots \otimes_N \overline{x_k} &= \\ &= \beta^{k(k-1)/4} \overline{x_1 e_0 x_2 e_{1,0} x_3 e_{2,0} x_4 \dots x_{k-1} e_{k-2,0} x_k} \\ &= \beta^{k(k-1)/4} \overline{x_1 e_{0,k-2} x_2 e_{0,k-3} x_3 \dots x_{k-2} e_{0,1} x_{k-1} e_0 x_k} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

for  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in M$ . (The first equation follows, if we identify  $\overline{x_i} \in L^2(M)$  with  $\overline{x_i} \otimes_M \overline{1} \in L^2(M) \otimes_M L^2(M)$  in (11), and so does the second equation, if we put  $\overline{x_i} = \overline{1} \otimes_M \overline{x_i}$ .) Observe that the considerations after Definition 1.7 imply that  $\{\overline{x_1} \otimes_N \dots \otimes_N \overline{x_k} : x_1, \dots, x_k \in M\}$  is dense in  $L^2(M)^{\otimes_N^k}$ .

Identifying  $L^2(M_1)$  and  $L^2(M) \otimes_N L^2(M)$ , we may regard the orthogonal projection  $e_1$  from  $L^2(M_1)$  onto  $L^2(M) \subset L^2(M_1)$  as a continuous linear operator of  $\mathbf{L}(L^2(M) \otimes_N L^2(M))$  and denote it by  $F_1$ .

**Theorem 2.2** *For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there is a normal isomorphism  $J_n$  from  $M_{2n-1} = \mathcal{L}_{-,M_{2n-3}}(L^2(M_{2n-2}))$  onto  $\mathcal{L}_{-,N}(L^2(M)^{\otimes_N^n})$  satisfying the following properties:*

$$\begin{aligned} J_n(m) &= \lambda(m) \quad \text{for } m \in M, \\ J_n(e_{2k}) \overline{x_1} \otimes_N \overline{x_2} \dots \otimes_N \overline{x_n} &= \overline{x_1} \otimes_N \dots \otimes_N \overline{E_0(x_{k+1})} \otimes_N \dots \otimes_N \overline{x_n} \\ &\quad \text{for } x_1, \dots, x_n \in M \text{ and } k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \\ J_n(e_{2k+1}) \overline{x_1} \otimes_N \overline{x_2} \dots \otimes_N \overline{x_n} &= \overline{x_1} \otimes_N \dots \otimes_N \overline{F_1(\overline{x_{k+1}} \otimes_N \overline{x_{k+2}})} \otimes_N \dots \otimes_N \overline{x_n} \\ &\quad \text{for } x_1, \dots, x_n \in M \text{ and } k = 0, 1, \dots, n-2 \text{ (} n \geq 2 \text{)}. \end{aligned}$$

As  $L^2(M)^{\otimes_N^n}$  and  $L^2(M_{n-1})$  can be identified, Theorem 2.2 especially states that the basic construction  $\mathcal{L}_{-,N}(L^2(M_{n-1}))$  for  $N \subset M_{n-1}$  is the same as  $M_{2n-1}$ . In [9] M. Pimsner and S. Popa proved a version of this result, which is somewhat weaker than Theorem 2.2.

Before we prove Theorem 2.2, we note some useful consequences.

Using  $L^2(M) = L^2(M) \otimes_M L^2(M)$   $n$  times, we can identify  $L^2(M)^{\otimes_N^n}$  with

$$L^2(M) \otimes_M L^2(M) \otimes_N L^2(M) \otimes_M L^2(M) \otimes_N \dots \otimes_N L^2(M) \otimes_M L^2(M). \quad (14)$$

Let

$$\epsilon(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } k \text{ even,} \\ -1 & \text{for } k \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

For  $0 \leq k < l \leq 2n$  let  $\mathcal{H}_k^l$  denote the tensor product

$$L^2(M) \otimes_{M_{\epsilon(k)}} L^2(M) \otimes_{M_{\epsilon(k+1)}} \cdots \otimes_{M_{\epsilon(l-2)}} L^2(M),$$

which consists of the  $(k+1)$ .th,  $(k+2)$ .th,  $\dots$  and  $l$ .th factor in the tensor product (14), moreover let  $\mathcal{H}_0^0 := \mathcal{H}_{2n}^{2n} := L^2(N)$ .

**Corollary 2.3**  $J_n : M_{2n-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{-,N}((L^2(M) \otimes_M L^2(M))^{\otimes_N^n})$  satisfies the following relations:

- (i)  $J_n(M_k) = \mathcal{L}_{-,M_{\epsilon(k)}}(\mathcal{H}_0^{k+1}) \otimes_{M_{\epsilon(k)}} \mathbb{C}1_{\mathcal{H}_{k+1}^{2n}}$  for  $-1 \leq k \leq 2n-1$ ,
- (ii)  $J_n(M'_k \cap M_l) = \mathbb{C}1_{\mathcal{H}_0^{k+1}} \otimes_{M_{\epsilon(k)}} \mathcal{L}_{M_{\epsilon(k)}, M_{\epsilon(l)}}(\mathcal{H}_{k+1}^{l+1}) \otimes_{M_{\epsilon(l)}} \mathbb{C}1_{\mathcal{H}_{l+1}^{2n}}$  for  $-1 \leq k < l \leq 2n-1$ .

**Proof** (i) The case  $k = 2r-1$  ( $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $r \leq n$ ) follows from  $J_n(M_k) = J_r(M_k) \otimes_N \mathbb{C}1_{\mathcal{H}_{k+1}^{2n}}$  and  $J_r(M_k) = \mathcal{L}_{-,N}(\mathcal{H}_0^{k+1})$  (according to Theorem 2.2). In the case  $k = 2r$ , ( $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $r < n$ ) we know that  $J_n(M_{k-1}) \cup J_n(e_{k-1})$  and consequently  $J_n(M_k)$  is contained in  $\mathcal{L}_{-,M}(\mathcal{H}_0^{k+1}) \otimes_M \mathbb{C}1_{\mathcal{H}_{k+1}^{2n}}$ . The remaining inclusion is a consequence of

$$\begin{aligned} [J_n(M_{k+1}) : J_n(M_k)] &= [M : N] = [\mathcal{L}_{-,N}(L^2(M)^{\otimes_N^{r+1}}) : \mathcal{L}_{-,M}(L^2(M)^{\otimes_N^{r+1}})] \\ &= [J_n(M_k) : \mathcal{L}_{-,M}(\mathcal{H}_0^{k+1}) \otimes_M \mathbb{C}1_{\mathcal{H}_{k+1}^{2n}}]. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) follows from (i) and from the formula

$$(\mathcal{L}_{-,Q}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes_Q \mathbb{C}1_{\mathcal{K}})' = \mathbb{C}1_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes_Q \mathcal{L}_{Q,-}(\mathcal{K})$$

for a  $\text{II}_1$ -factor  $Q$ , a right  $Q$ -module  $\mathcal{H}$  and a left  $Q$ -module  $\mathcal{K}$ . ■

Corollary 2.3 gives a useful presentation of the standard invariant

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}1 = N' \cap N &\subset N' \cap M \subset N' \cap M_1 \subset N' \cap M_2 \subset \dots \\ &\quad \cup \quad \quad \quad \cup \quad \quad \quad \cup \\ \mathbb{C}1 &= M' \cap M \subset M' \cap M_1 \subset M' \cap M_2 \subset \dots \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

of the subfactor  $N \subset M$ .

For a projection  $p$  in  $L^2(M)^{\otimes_N^k}$  the Hilbert space  $pL^2(M)^{\otimes_N^k}$  is an  $(N, N)$ -subbimodule of  $L^2(M)^{\otimes_N^k}$ , if and only if  $p \in J_k(N' \cap M_{2k-1})$ , and  $pL^2(M)^{\otimes_N^k}$  is an irreducible  $(N, N)$ -bimodule, if and only if  $J_k^{-1}(p)$  is a minimal projection of  $N' \cap M_{2k-1}$ . Now let  $f$  and  $g$  denote two minimal projections of  $N' \cap M_{2k-1}$ . One easily sees that the  $(N, N)$ -bimodules  $J_k(f)L^2(M)^{\otimes_N^k}$  and  $J_k(g)L^2(M)^{\otimes_N^k}$  are equivalent, if and only if  $f$  and  $g$  belong to the same simple direct summand of  $N' \cap M_{2k-1}$ . So we get a bijective correspondence between the equivalence classes of the irreducible  $(N, N)$ -bimodules contained in  $L^2(M)^{\otimes_N^k}$  and the simple direct summands of  $N' \cap M_{2k-1}$ .

If one considers the principal graph of the subfactor  $N \subset M$ , which contains the information about the tower of the finite dimensional von Neumann algebras in the upper line of (15), then one usually identifies the simple direct

summands of  $N' \cap M_{2k-1}$  with simple direct summands of  $N' \cap M_{2k+1}$  such that the following holds: If  $f$  is a minimal projection of a simple direct summand of  $N' \cap M_{2k-1}$ , then  $f e_{2k}$  is a minimal projection of the corresponding direct summand of  $N' \cap M_{2k+1}$  (compare [5], Section 4.6).

Using the description of the algebras  $N' \cap M_{2k-1}$  and  $N' \cap M_{2k+1}$  in Corollary 2.3, we see that corresponding simple direct summands of  $N' \cap M_{2k-1}$  and  $N' \cap M_{2k+1}$  belong to the same equivalence class of irreducible  $(N, N)$ -bimodules: Let  $f$  be a minimal projection of  $N' \cap M_{2k-1}$ . The  $(N, N)$ -bimodule  $J_k(f) L^2(M)^{\otimes_N^k}$  is equivalent to the  $(N, N)$ -bimodule

$$\begin{aligned} J_k(f) L^2(M)^{\otimes_N^k} \otimes_N L^2(N) &= J_k(f) L^2(M)^{\otimes_N^k} \otimes_N e_0 L^2(M) \\ &= J_{k+1}(f e_{2k}) L^2(M)^{\otimes_N^{k+1}} \end{aligned}$$

by Theorem 2.2.

In a similar way the simple direct summands of  $N' \cap M_{2k}$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ) correspond to equivalence classes of irreducible  $(N, M)$ -bimodules. As above, given a minimal projection  $f$  of  $N' \cap M_{2k}$ , the irreducible  $(N, M)$ -bimodules  $J_{k+1}(f)(L^2(M)^{\otimes_N^{k+1}})$  and  $J_{k+2}(f e_{2k+1})(L^2(M)^{\otimes_N^{k+2}})$  are equivalent. Obviously one obtains an analogous connection between the algebras  $M' \cap M_{2k}$  and the  $(M, M)$ -bimodules as well as between the algebras  $M' \cap M_{2k+1}$  and the  $(M, N)$ -bimodules.

For the proof of Theorem 2.2 we need some preparations.

## 2.4 Some observations about bimodules with different factors acting from right

(1) Let  ${}_Q \mathcal{H}_L$  and  ${}_Q \mathcal{K}_S$  be two bimodules. We assume that there is an isomorphism  $I$  from  $\mathcal{L}_{-,L}(\mathcal{H})$  onto  $\mathcal{L}_{-,S}(\mathcal{K})$  such that  $I(\lambda_{\mathcal{H}}(q)) = \lambda_{\mathcal{K}}(q)$  for every  $q \in Q$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}$  is also an  $\mathcal{L}_{-,S}(\mathcal{K})$ -module, where the action is given by  $I^{-1}$ . Let us suppose that the  $\mathcal{L}_{-,S}(\mathcal{K})$ -module  $\mathcal{K}$  is equivalent to a submodule of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

A linear isometry  $W : \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is said to be associated with  $I$ , if  $W$  is  $\mathcal{L}_{-,S}(\mathcal{K})$ -linear. Now let us fix a linear isometry  $W$  associated with  $I$ . Let  $p$  be the projection  $W \cdot W^* \in \mathcal{L}_{-,L}(\mathcal{H})' = \rho(L)$ . We have

$$W a W^* = p I^{-1}(a) \tag{16}$$

for every  $a \in \mathcal{L}_{-,S}(\mathcal{K})$ . By restricting  $W$  to its image  $p\mathcal{H}$ , we obtain a unitary operator  $\tilde{W} : \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow p\mathcal{H}$ . If we endow  $p\mathcal{H}$  with the right action  $\rho_{p\mathcal{H}}$  of  $S$  defined by  $\rho_{p\mathcal{H}}(s) := \tilde{W} \cdot \rho(s) \cdot \tilde{W}^*$  for  $s \in S$ , then  $p\mathcal{H}$  is a  $(Q, S)$ -bimodule, equivalent to  $\mathcal{K}$ . Using (16) we get

$$\mathcal{L}_{-,S}(p\mathcal{H}) = \tilde{W} \mathcal{L}_{-,S}(\mathcal{K}) \tilde{W}^* = W I(\mathcal{L}_{-,L}(\mathcal{H})) W^* = p \mathcal{L}_{-,L}(\mathcal{H}), \tag{17}$$

which implies

$$\rho_{p\mathcal{H}}(S) = p \rho_{\mathcal{H}}(L) p. \tag{18}$$

(2) Additionally, let  ${}_P\mathcal{L}_Q$  be a bimodule. Then  $\mathcal{L} \otimes_Q \mathcal{H}$  is a  $(P, L)$ -bimodule and  $\mathcal{L} \otimes_Q \mathcal{K}$  is a  $(P, S)$ -bimodule. Starting with the isomorphism  $I$  and the linear isometry  $W$ , we will define an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{1} \otimes_Q I : \mathcal{L}_{-,L}(\mathcal{L} \otimes_Q \mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{-,S}(\mathcal{L} \otimes_Q \mathcal{K})$$

with the following properties:

- (a)  $(\mathbf{1} \otimes_Q I)(\lambda_{\mathcal{L} \otimes_Q \mathcal{H}}(x)) = \lambda_{\mathcal{L} \otimes_Q \mathcal{K}}(x)$  for every  $x \in P$  and
- (b) The  $\mathcal{L}_{-,S}(\mathcal{L} \otimes_Q \mathcal{K})$ -module  $\mathcal{L} \otimes_Q \mathcal{K}$  is equivalent to a submodule of the  $\mathcal{L}_{-,S}(\mathcal{L} \otimes_Q \mathcal{K})$ -module  $\mathcal{L} \otimes_Q \mathcal{H}$ , and  $\mathbf{1} \otimes_Q W$  is a linear isometry associated with  $\mathbf{1} \otimes_Q I$ .

$\mathbf{1} \otimes_Q p$  is a projection of  $\rho_{\mathcal{L} \otimes_Q \mathcal{H}}(L)$ , hence  $x \mapsto (\mathbf{1} \otimes_Q p)x$  defines an isomorphism  $J_1$  from  $\rho_{\mathcal{L} \otimes_Q \mathcal{H}}(L)' = \mathcal{L}_{-,L}(\mathcal{L} \otimes_Q \mathcal{H})$  onto the commutant  $((\mathbf{1} \otimes_Q p)\rho_{\mathcal{L} \otimes_Q \mathcal{H}}(L)(\mathbf{1} \otimes_Q p))'$  (in  $(\mathbf{1} \otimes_Q p)\mathcal{L} \otimes_Q \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L} \otimes_Q p\mathcal{H}$ ).

In (1)  $p\mathcal{H}$  was endowed with a  $(Q, S)$ -bimodule structure, hence  $\mathcal{L} \otimes_Q p\mathcal{H}$  is a  $(P, S)$ -bimodule. By applying equation (18) we get

$$\rho_{\mathcal{L} \otimes_Q p\mathcal{H}}(S) = \mathbf{1} \otimes_Q \rho_{p\mathcal{H}}(S) = \mathbf{1} \otimes_Q p\rho_{\mathcal{H}}(L)p = (\mathbf{1} \otimes_Q p)\rho_{\mathcal{L} \otimes_Q \mathcal{H}}(L)(\mathbf{1} \otimes_Q p)$$

with the consequence that

$$((\mathbf{1} \otimes_Q p)\rho_{\mathcal{L} \otimes_Q \mathcal{H}}(L)(\mathbf{1} \otimes_Q p))' = \mathcal{L}_{-,S}(\mathcal{L} \otimes_Q p\mathcal{H}).$$

Now  $x \mapsto (\mathbf{1} \otimes_Q \tilde{W})^* x (\mathbf{1} \otimes_Q \tilde{W})$  defines an isomorphism  $J_2$  from  $\mathcal{L}_{-,S}(\mathcal{L} \otimes_Q p\mathcal{H})$  onto  $\mathcal{L}_{-,S}(\mathcal{L} \otimes_Q \mathcal{K})$ . We put  $\mathbf{1} \otimes_Q I := J_2 \circ J_1$ . Property (a) is obvious, for the proof of Property (b) we note that  $(\mathbf{1} \otimes_Q W) \cdot (\mathbf{1} \otimes_Q W)^* = \mathbf{1} \otimes_Q p$  belongs to  $\rho_{\mathcal{L} \otimes_Q \mathcal{H}}(L)$ . From

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{1} \otimes_Q W)(\mathbf{1} \otimes_Q I)(a) &= (\mathbf{1} \otimes_Q W)(\mathbf{1} \otimes_Q \tilde{W})^* (\mathbf{1} \otimes_Q p)a(\mathbf{1} \otimes_Q \tilde{W}) = \\ (\mathbf{1} \otimes_Q p)a(\mathbf{1} \otimes_Q W) &= a(\mathbf{1} \otimes_Q p)(\mathbf{1} \otimes_Q W) = a(\mathbf{1} \otimes_Q p) \end{aligned}$$

for every  $a \in \mathcal{L}_{-,L}(\mathcal{L} \otimes_Q \mathcal{H})$  we conclude that the linear isometry  $\mathbf{1} \otimes_Q W$  is associated with  $\mathbf{1} \otimes_Q I$ .

We point out that the definition of  $\mathbf{1} \otimes_Q I$  does not depend on the choice of  $W$ .

(3) We suppose the assumptions from (1). Additionally, let  ${}_Q\mathcal{M}_T$  be a bimodule and  $J : \mathcal{L}_{-,S}(\mathcal{K}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{-,T}(\mathcal{M})$  an isomorphism such that  $\mathcal{M}$  is an  $\mathcal{L}_{-,T}(\mathcal{M})$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{K}$ . Considering the isomorphism  $J \circ I : \mathcal{L}_{-,L}(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{-,T}(\mathcal{M})$  we also may regard  $\mathcal{M}$  as an  $\mathcal{L}_{-,T}(\mathcal{M})$ -subbimodule of  $\mathcal{H}$ . If  $V : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}$  is a linear isometry associated with  $J$ , then  $W \circ V$  is a linear isometry associated with  $J \circ I$ . The proof of this fact is easy.

**Lemma 2.5** (i)  $\beta e_{n,0}e_{n+1,0} = e_{n,0}e_{n+1,2}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(ii)  $\beta^{k(k+1)/2} e_{n,0}e_{n+1,0} \cdots e_{n+k,0} = e_{n,0}e_{n+1,2}e_{n+2,4} \cdots e_{n+k,2k}$  for  $0 < k \leq n$ .

(iii)  $e_{n,0}e_{n+1,0} \cdots e_{2n-2,0}e_{2n-1,2n-2} = \beta^{n-1} e_{n,0}e_{n+1,0} \cdots e_{2n-1,0}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof:**  $\beta e_{n,0}e_{n+1,0} = \beta e_{n,1}e_{n+1,2}e_0e_1e_0 = e_{n,1}e_{n+1,2}e_0 = e_{n,0}e_{n+1,2}$  shows (i). We get (ii) by applying (i) several times and (iii) by applying (ii) twice (with  $k = n - 1$  to the right side of the equation in (iii) and with  $k = n - 2$  to the left side). ■

## 2.6 The isomorphisms $J_n$

(1) We introduce an isomorphism

$$I : M_3 = \mathcal{L}_{-,M_1}(L^2(M_2)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{-,N}(L^2(M_1))$$

satisfying  $I(m) = \lambda(m)$  for every  $m \in M$ . Let  $K$  be the canonical isomorphism from  $\mathcal{L}_{-,M_1}(L^2(M_1)) = \lambda(M_1)$  onto  $\mathcal{L}_{-,N}(L^2(M)) = M_1$ .  $K$  is an isomorphism as in Section 2.4 (1) (with  $Q = M$ ) and

$$V : L^2(M) \longrightarrow L^2(M_1), \overline{m} \longmapsto \beta^{1/2} \overline{me_0},$$

is a linear isometry associated with  $K$ . (The Markov property (9) of  $\text{tr}_{M_1}$  implies

$$\langle \beta^{1/2} \overline{me_0}, \beta^{1/2} \overline{le_0} \rangle = \beta \text{tr}_{M_1}(me_0l^*) = \text{tr}_M(ml^*) = \langle \overline{m}, \overline{l} \rangle$$

for  $m, l \in M$ , hence  $V$  is isometric.  $V$  is  $M$ -linear and  $V \circ e_0 = \lambda(e_0) \circ V$  holds by equation (7), thus  $V$  is  $M_1$ -linear.)

Applying Section 2.4 (2) with the  $(M, M)$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{L} = L^2(M_1)$  we get an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{1} \otimes_M K : \mathcal{L}_{-,M_1}(L^2(M_1) \otimes_M L^2(M_1)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{-,N}(L^2(M_1) \otimes_M L^2(M)).$$

We identify  $L^2(M_1) \otimes_M L^2(M_1)$  and  $L^2(M_2)$  according to Lemma 2.1 as well as  $L^2(M_1) \otimes_M L^2(M)$  and  $L^2(M_1)$  according to relation (4). After these identifications,  $\mathbf{1} \otimes_M K$  is the desired isomorphism  $I$ , and the linear isometry  $\mathbf{1} \otimes_M V : L^2(M_1) \longrightarrow L^2(M_2)$  is associated with  $I$  and satisfies the relation

$$(\mathbf{1} \otimes_M V) \overline{x} = \beta \overline{xe_{1,0}} \quad \text{for } x \in M_1. \quad (19)$$

(2) Inductively we define isomorphisms

$$J_n : M_{2n-1} = \mathcal{L}_{-,M_{2n-3}}(L^2(M_{2n-2})) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{-,N}(L^2(M)^{\otimes_N^n}) \quad (n \geq 1)$$

with the following properties:

(a)  $J_n(m) = \lambda(m)$  for every  $m \in M$  and

$$(b) \quad W_n : L^2(M)^{\otimes_N^n} \longrightarrow L^2(M_{2n-2}), \quad \overline{x_1} \otimes_N \overline{x_2} \otimes_N \dots \otimes_N \overline{x_n} \longmapsto \\ \beta^{(n-1)(2n-1)/2} \overline{x_1 e_0 x_2 e_{1,0} x_3 \dots x_{n-1} e_{n-2,0} x_n e_{n-1,0} e_{n,0} \dots e_{2n-3,0}} = \\ \beta^{(n-1)(2n-1)/2} \overline{x_1 e_{0,2n-3} x_2 e_{0,2n-4} x_3 \dots x_{n-1} e_{0,n-1} x_n e_{0,n-2} e_{0,n-3} \dots e_{0,1} e_0},$$



for  $l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $2n - 2 \geq l$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in M$ . We show ( 20) by induction over  $l$  (simultaneously for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $2n - 2 \geq l$ ):

$l = 0$  is a simple consequence of  $e_0 x_1 e_0 = E_0(x_1) e_0$ .

$l = 1$  : We get

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 \cdot W_n \overline{x_1} \otimes_N \overline{x_2} \otimes_N \dots \otimes_N \overline{x_n} &= \beta^{(n-1)(2n-1)/2} e_1 \cdot \overline{x_1 e_0 x_2 e_{1,0} \dots} = \\ \beta^{(n-1)(2n-1)/2} \overline{E_1(x_1 e_0 x_2) e_{1,0} \dots} &= W_n F_1(\overline{x_1} \otimes_N \overline{x_2}) \otimes_N \dots \otimes_N \overline{x_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Concerning the last  $' = '$  observe that there are an  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $y_j, z_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , such that  $E_1(x_1 e_0 x_2) = \sum_{j=1}^m y_j e_0 z_j$  and consequently  $F_1(\overline{x_1} \otimes_N \overline{x_2}) = \sum_{j=1}^n \overline{y_j} \otimes_N \overline{z_j}$  holds.

$2 \leq l \leq 2n - 2$ : The left side of Equation ( 20) is equal to

$$\beta^{(n-1)(2n-1)/2} e_l \cdot \overline{x_1 e_{0,2n-3} x_2 e_0 x_3 \dots x_{n-1} e_{n-3,0} x_n e_{n-2,0} e_{n-1,0} \dots e_{2n-5,0} e_{2n-4,0}}$$

(see the computation from Section 2.6 (2)). For the right side we use the facts that  $W_n = \hat{V}_n \circ (\mathbf{1} \otimes_N W_{n-1})$  holds and that the assertion is fulfilled for  $l - 2$  and  $n - 1$  by induction hypothesis. For  $l < 2n - 2$  the right side is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{V}_n \overline{x_1} \otimes_N \left( e_{l-2} \cdot W_{n-1} \overline{x_2} \otimes_N \dots \otimes_N \overline{x_n} \right) &= \\ \beta^{(n-2)(2n-3)/2} \hat{V}_n \overline{x_1} \otimes_N e_{l-2} \cdot \overline{x_2 e_0 x_3 \dots x_{n-1} e_{n-3,0} x_n e_{n-2,0} e_{n-1,0} \dots e_{2n-5,0}} &= \\ \beta^{(n-1)(2n-1)/2} \overline{x_1 e_{0,2n-3} e_{l-2} x_2 e_0 x_3 \dots x_{n-1} e_{n-3,0} x_n e_{n-2,0} e_{n-1,0} \dots e_{2n-5,0} e_{2n-4,0}} \end{aligned}$$

(The computation is similar to that in Section 2.6 (2).) So the left side and the right side of equation ( 20) coincide, if  $e_l e_{0,2n-3} = e_{0,2n-3} e_{l-2}$ , which, however, the following computation shows:

$$\begin{aligned} e_l e_{0,2n-3} &= e_{0,l-2} e_l e_{l-1} e_l e_{l+1} \dots e_{2n-3} = \beta^{-1} e_{0,l-2} e_{l,2n-3} = \\ e_{0,l-2} e_{l-1} e_{l-2} e_{l,2n-3} &= e_{0,2n-3} e_{l-2}. \end{aligned}$$

At last we deal with the case  $l = 2n - 2$ . Using the induction hypothesis and similar arguments as before, we see that the right side of ( 20) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^{(n-2)(2n-3)/2} \hat{V}_n \overline{x_1} \otimes_N \overline{E_{2n-4}(x_2 e_{0,2n-5} x_3 \dots x_{n-1} e_{0,n-2} x_n e_{0,n-3} e_{0,n-4} \dots e_0)} &= \\ \beta^{(n-1)(2n-3)/2+1} \overline{x_1 e_{0,2n-4} E_{2n-4}(x_2 e_{0,2n-5} x_3 \dots} &= \\ \dots x_{n-1} e_{0,n-2} x_n e_{0,n-3} e_{0,n-4} \dots e_0) e_{2n-3,2n-4}} &= \\ \beta^{(n-1)(2n-3)/2+1} \overline{x_1 e_{0,2n-4} x_2 e_{0,2n-5} x_3 \dots} &= \\ \dots x_{n-1} e_{0,n-2} x_n e_{0,n-3} e_{0,n-4} \dots e_0 e_{2n-4} e_{2n-3} e_{2n-4}} &= \\ \beta^{(n-1)(2n-3)/2} \overline{x_1 e_0 x_2 e_{1,0} x_3 \dots x_{n-1} e_{n-2,0} x_n e_{n-1,0} \dots e_{2n-4,0} e_{2n-4}} &= \\ \beta^{(n-1)(2n-3)/2+1} \overline{E_{2n-2}(x_1 e_0 x_2 e_{1,0} x_3 \dots x_{n-1} e_{n-2,0} x_n e_{n-1,0} \dots} &= \\ \dots e_{2n-4,0} e_{2n-3} e_{2n-4})} &= \\ \beta^{(n-1)(2n-1)/2} e_{2n-2} \cdot \overline{x_1 e_0 x_2 e_{1,0} x_3 \dots x_{n-1} e_{n-2,0} x_n e_{n-1,0} \dots e_{2n-4,0} e_{2n-3,0}}. \end{aligned}$$

(We used ( 7) in line 3, ( 5) in line 4, (8) in line 5, and Lemma 2.5 (iii) in line 6.) ■

## References

- [1] N. Bourbaki, *Algèbre I*, Hermann, Paris, 1970.
- [2] M.-Cl. David, *Paragroupe d'Adrian Ocneanu et Algebre de Kac*, Pac. J. Math **172** (1996), 331 - 363.
- [3] Y. Denizeau, J.F. Havet, *Correspondances d'indice fini I: Indice d'un vecteur*, preprint.
- [4] Y. Denizeau, J.F. Havet, *Correspondances d'indice fini II: Indice d'une correspondance*, preprint.
- [5] F. Goodman, P. de la Harpe, V.F.R. Jones, *Coxeter graphs and towers of algebras*, Springer, 1989.
- [6] V.F.R. Jones, *Index for subfactors*, Invent. Math. **72** (1983), 1 - 25.
- [7] A. Ocneanu, *Quantized groups, string algebras, and Galois theory for algebras*, in: Operator Algebras and Applications, Vol. 2, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 136, Cambridge University Press, 1988.
- [8] A. Ocneanu, *Quantum Symmetry, differential geometry of finite graphs and classification of subfactors*, Univ. Tokyo Seminar Notes, 1991.
- [9] M. Pimsner, S. Popa, *Iterating the basic construction*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **310** (1988), 127 - 133.
- [10] J.-L. Sauvageot, *Sur le produit tensoriel relatif d'espace de hilbert*, J. Operator Theory **9** (1983), 237 - 252.
- [11] R. Schaflitzel, *C\*-tensor categories in the theory of subfactors of  $\text{II}_1$ -factors*, Habilitationsschrift, Technische Universität München, 1996.
- [12] V.S. Sunder,  *$\text{II}_1$ -factors, their bimodules and hypergroups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **330** (1992), 227 - 256.
- [13] S. Yamagami, *A note on Ocneanu's approach to Jones' index theory*, Intern. J. Math. **4** (1993), 859 - 871.
- [14] S. Yamagami, *Modular theory for bimodules*, preprint.